arXiv:1607.05766v1 [math.DG] 19 Jul 2016

DESTABILISING COMPACT WARPED PRODUCT EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

WAFAA BATAT, STUART HALL, AND THOMAS MURPHY

Abstract. The linear stability of warped product Einstein metrics is investigated. We generalise the results of Gibbons, Hartnoll and Pope and show that in sufficiently low dimensions, all warped product Einstein metrics are unstable. By exploiting the relationship between warped product Einstein metrics, quasi-Einstein metrics and Ricci solitons, we introduce a new destabilising perturbation (the Ricci variation) and show that certain infinite families of warped product Einstein metrics will be unstable in high dimensions. We show how the Ricci variation might be employed to investigate the stability of a family of Einstein metrics due to Böhm on spheres and products of spheres.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Objects of study.** Let $M = B \times F$ be a product manifold. Equip M with the metric

$$g = \pi_B^* \overline{g} \oplus (f \circ \pi_B)^2 \pi_F^* \tilde{g},$$

where $\overline{g}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are Riemannian metrics on B and F respectively, $\pi_B : M \to B$ and $\pi_F : M \to F$ denote the natural projections, and $f \in C^\infty(B)$. The Riemannian manifold (M, g) is referred to as a *warped product*. We shall henceforth adopt the standard abuse of notation and drop the references to the projections π_B and π_F. The manifold B is referred to as the base F is referred to as the fibre. By taking the function f to be constant, one recovers a Riemannian product.

In this article, we investigate the properties of warped products that are also Einstein manifolds. We will be concerned with the case where the Einstein constant is positive and so the manifolds B and F are compact. If a warped product (M, g) is an Einstein manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}(g) = \lambda g$ for $\lambda > 0$, then the following is well known (e.g. Corollary 9.107 in [2]) :

$$(F, \tilde{g}) \text{ is an Einstein manifold with } \mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{g}) = \mu \tilde{g} \text{ for some } \mu > 0,$$

$$\mu = f\overline{\Delta} f + (m-1)|\overline{\nabla} f|^2 + \lambda f^2, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\overline{g}) - m f^{-1} \overline{\nabla}^2 f = \lambda \overline{g}. \tag{1.2}$$

The notion of stability in the title has two incarnations. We will primarily be concerned with the stability of warped product Einstein metrics viewed as fixed points of the Ricci flow. An Einstein metric is, up to homothetic rescaling, a fixed point of the flow. As usual, stable fixed points are in some sense attracting and unstable fixed points are repelling (see Section 2 for precise definitions of linear stability). The investigation into the stability of Einstein metrics (and, more generally, Ricci solitons) under the Ricci flow was initiated by Cao, Hamilton and Ilmanen in [6]. Understanding the stability of Einstein metrics would be an important step in extending geometrization via Ricci flow to dimensions greater than 3. A second motivation (historically preceding the first) comes from theoretical physics where the stability of Riemannian Einstein metrics is

related to the thermodynamic stability of generalised black holes [12]. This was the context of the pioneering investigation into the stability of warped product Einstein metrics conducted by Gibbons, Hartnoll and Pope [13].

It is trivial to see that a product Einstein metric is always an unstable fixed point of the Ricci flow. A product Einstein metric also leads to the instability of generalised black holes if the dimension of the product is less than 9. However, as Gibbons, Hartnoll, and Pope demonstrated in [13], constructing destabilising variations of warped products is not straightforward. The destabilising perturbations they considered only worked on two of the manifolds they investigated (the ones with lowest dimensions).

1.2. **Results.** In this paper the work of [13] is framed in a much more general context. By using the relationship between warped product Einstein metrics, quasi-Einstein metrics, and gradient Ricci solitons, we are able to generalise the Gibbons–Hartnoll–Pope variation (henceforth the GHP variation, Definition 4.1) and prove a more general instability result in low-dimensions. The definitions of linear stability are given in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Any warped product Einstein metrics with three dimensional base and two or three dimensional fibre is linearly unstable (both in the Ricci flow and the black hole sense).*

The following theorem makes precise the interaction between the tensor harmonics of the fibre and those of the warped product.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a warped product Einstein manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{g}) = \mu\tilde{g}$. Let σ be a transverse, trace-free eigentensor of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian of $(F, \tilde{g})$ satisfying $\widetilde{\Delta}_L\sigma = -k\sigma$. If $k < \mu$ then the warped product (M, g) is linearly unstable as a fixed point of the Ricci flow.*

This theorem allows us to find a large class of Ricci flow unstable warped products. We will refer to warped products that are unstable in the manner of Theorem 1.2 as fibre-unstable.

Corollary 1.3. *The following fibres yield fibre-unstable warped products:*

- *when $(F, \tilde{g})$ is a Riemannian product $(F_1 \times F_2, g_1 \oplus g_2)$,*
- *when $(F, \tilde{g})$ is a Kähler-Einstein metric with $\dim H^{(1,1)}(F; \mathbb{R}) > 1$,*
- *when $(F, \tilde{g})$ is a fibre-unstable warped product.*

Our framework allows us to explain more precisely why the GHP variation is not destabilising in higher dimensions and to build a variation from the Ricci tensor (the Ricci variation, Definition 5.1) which, under mild assumptions, is asymptotically destabilising.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (B, g_m, f_m) be a one parameter family of solutions to Equations (1.1) and (1.2) for a fixed λ and μ and $m \geq m_0$. Suppose further that g_m and f_m^m remain bounded in the respective C^2-topologies. Then there exists an integer K such that any warped product Einstein metric $g_m \oplus f_m^2\tilde{g}$ is linearly unstable in the Ricci flow sense for $m \geq K$.*

We note that the explicit description of the Lü–Page–Pope type metrics in [1] shows that these metrics satisfy the hypotheses. Hence for large enough values of m the associated Einstein metrics must be unstable as fixed points of the Ricci flow.

1.3. **Conventions.** As the proofs of the theorems rest on certain quantities having a particular sign, it is important to state exactly the conventions used in the paper where there is room for ambiguity. On the product $B \times F$, uppercase letters denote general coordinates, lowercase Roman letters denote the coordinates on B and lowercase Greek letters denote the coordinates on the fibre F.
The divergence of a tensor T is given by

$$\operatorname{div}(T)(\cdot) = g^{AB}(\nabla_A T)(\partial_B, \cdot)$$

and the (connection) Laplacian ΔT is given by

$$\Delta T = -\nabla^*\nabla T = g^{AB}(\nabla^2_{A,B} T) = \operatorname{div}(\nabla T).$$

With this convention, the spectrum of the Laplacian is non-positive. The convention we use for curvature is

$$\operatorname{Rm}(X, Y)Z = \nabla^2_{Y,X} Z - \nabla^2_{X,Y} Z$$

and the curvature operator $\operatorname{Rm} : s^2(TM) \to s^2(TM)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Rm}(h, \cdot)_{AB} = \operatorname{Rm}_{ACBD} h^{CD}$$

for $h \in s^2(TM)$.

Geometric objects on the base manifold B will usually be denoted using a bar, for example $\bar{g}$ for the metric. Geometric objects on the fibre F are likewise denoted using a tilde, so we have $\tilde{g}$ for the fibre metric. We will set $n = \dim(B)$ and $m = \dim(F)$.

Acknowledgements: The initial work on this project was conducted whilst WB and TM were visiting SH in Dec 2015 and Jan 2016. The visit of WB was funded by an LMS grant under scheme 4. TM was funded by a Cal. Sate Fullerton Startup Grant.

2. Background

2.1. **Warped Product Einstein metrics.** Riemannian manifolds $(B, \overline{g})$ that solve Equation (1.2) for some $f \in C^\infty(B)$ and $m > 0$ are known as *quasi-Einstein* manifolds and are studied in their own right as interesting generalisations of Einstein metrics. A foundational result of Kim and Kim [20] says that if $(B, \overline{g}, f, m)$ solve (1.2), then there exists a $\mu > 0$ such that f solves Equation (1.1). Hence for integral values of m, one can construct warped product Einstein manifolds from a quasi-Einstein metric on the base B.

We also consider Riemannian manifolds (M, g) where the metric g solves

$$\operatorname{Ric}(g) + \nabla^2\phi = \lambda g, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Metrics solving (2.1) are called *gradient Ricci solitons*. One can view Equation (2.1) as the formal limit as $m \to \infty$ of (1.2) by setting $\phi_m = -m \log f$. More detailed results on the sense in which gradient Ricci solitons are the limits of quasi-Einstein metrics can be found in the work of Case [9].

At the time of writing, there are very few general methods for constructing of compact, warped product Einstein metrics. The first examples that were found are due to Böhm [3] and occur on the product $\mathbb{S}^n \times F^m$ with $2 \leq m \leq 6$ and $3 \leq n \leq 9 - m$. The second family of examples come from a construction due to Lü, Page and Pope [24] of quasi-Einstein metrics on $\mathbb{CP}^1$-bundles over a Fano Kähler–Einstein base. This construction (and its generalisation due to the second author [15]) produce quasi-Einstein metrics for all $m > 1$ and hence infinitely many examples of warped product Einstein

manifolds. A very explicit construction of the lowest dimensional examples of the Lü–Page–Pope construction where $B = \mathbb{CP}^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ was given in [1]. It is expected (and there is numerical evidence in [1]) that the Lü–Page–Pope quasi-Einstein metrics converge as $m \to \infty$ to the Koiso–Cao Kähler–Ricci soliton constructed independently in [4] and [21]. The metrics constructed in [15] should converge to generalisations of the Koiso–Cao soliton due to Dancer and Wang [11] which are known as Dancer–Wang Kähler–Ricci solitons.

2.2. **Linear stability for Ricci flow.** Ricci solitons first arose as the fixed points up to gauge of the Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2\mathrm{Ric}(g).$$

In particular, Einstein metric evolve via homothetic rescaling. Perelman [27] introduced a quantity ν which is monotonically increasing along a Ricci flow and stationary only at Ricci solitons and, in particular, at Einstein metrics. If the second variation of ν at an Einstein metric is positive, then a small perturbation of the metric will increase ν and the Ricci flow cannot flow back to the Einstein metric. Hence the Einstein metric will be unstable. The linear stability of Ricci solitons was considered by Cao, Hamilton and Ilmanen [6] and Cao and Zhu [8]. In order to state their theorem we need to introduce the operators

$$\mathrm{div}_\phi(\cdot) := e^{-\phi}\mathrm{div}(e^\phi \cdot) = \mathrm{div}(\cdot) - \iota_{\nabla\phi}(\cdot),$$

and

$$\Delta_\phi(\cdot) := \Delta(\cdot) - \nabla_{\nabla\phi}(\cdot).$$

Theorem 2.1 (Cao–Hamilton–Ilmanen [6], Cao–Zhu [8])**.** *Let (M, g, ϕ) be a gradient Ricci soliton with potential function ϕ and constant λ. Let $h \in s^2(TM)$. Then*

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\nu(g+sh)|_{s=0} = \frac{(2\lambda)^{-1}}{(8\pi\lambda)^{n/2}} \int_M \langle N(h), h\rangle e^{-\phi} dV_g,$$

where

$$N(h) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\phi(h) + \mathrm{Rm}(h,\cdot) + \mathrm{div}^*\mathrm{div}_\phi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 v_h - \mathrm{Ric}\frac{\int_M \langle \mathrm{Ric}, h\rangle e^{-\phi} dV_g}{\int_M R e^{-\phi} dV_g}, \tag{2.2}$$

and v_h is the unique solution to

$$\Delta_\phi v_h + \lambda v_h = \mathrm{div}_\phi \mathrm{div}_\phi h.$$

As Perelman's ν-entropy is invariant under homothetic rescaling and diffeomorphisms of the metric, we restrict to perturbations given by tensors h satisfying the gauge-fixing conditions

$$\mathrm{div}_\phi(h) = 0 \text{ and } \int_M \langle \mathrm{Ric}(g), h\rangle e^{-\phi} dV_g = 0.$$

In the Einstein case (where the soliton potential ϕ is constant), the stability operator (2.2) restricted to these tensors is given by

$$N(h) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_L h + 2\lambda h),$$

where Δ_L is the Lichnerowicz Laplacian

$$\Delta_L h = \Delta h + 2\mathrm{Rm}(h,\cdot) - \mathrm{Ric}\cdot h - h\cdot \mathrm{Ric}. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence we can state a stability criterion for Einstein metrics in terms of the spectrum of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian.

Definition 2.2 (Ricci flow linear stability [6])**.** Let (M, g) be a compact Einstein manifold satisfying $\mathrm{Ric}(g) = \lambda g$. If the Lichnerowicz Laplacian has a divergence free, g-orthogonal eigentensor with eigenvalue $-k$ satisfying

$$k < 2\lambda,$$

then g is unstable as a fixed point of the Ricci flow.

It is expected that Einstein metrics that are stable under the Ricci flow are quite special. In dimension four Richard Hamilton has conjectured that the only linearly stable examples of positively curved Einstein metrics (or Ricci solitons) are $\mathbb{S}^4$ and $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with their standard metrics. The Fubini–Study on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ metric is *neutrally* linearly stable as the eigentensors of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian achieve the bound -2λ. The relationship between dynamic and linear (in)stability was established by Sesum [28]. Recent work by Kröncke [22] showed the surprising result that the Fubini–Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is not dynamically stable. Various works [10], [14], [16], [17], [18] have provided case-by-case evidence for Hamilton's conjecture but as yet, very little general theory exists. Recent work by Pali has addressed this in the case of Kähler–Ricci solitons and Kähler–Ricci flow [25], [26] . By looking at compact symmetric spaces, Cao and He showed that there do exist a wider variety of stable metrics in higher dimensions [7]. The linear stability of noncompact warped product constructions has also been investigated in [14] and [23].

2.3. **Black hole stability.** In [12] the authors developed the stability theory of generalised Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black holes. These are metrics of the form

$$d\hat{s}^2 = -\left[1 - \left(\frac{l}{r}\right)^{d-1}\right] dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{l}{r}\right)^{d-1}\right]} + r^2 ds_d^2,$$

where l is a constant and ds_d^2 is the metric on a d dimensional compact Einstein manifold normalised so that its Einstein constant is $d-1$. They found a stability criterion involving the spectrum of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian restricted to the transverse (divergence free), trace-free tensors on the Einstein manifold. We state their stability criterion with respect to our convention that the ordinary Laplacian has a non-positive spectrum (this is the opposite convention to that taken in [12]).

Definition 2.3 (Black hole linear stability [12])**.** Let (M^n, g) be a compact Einstein manifold satisfying $\mathrm{Ric}(g) = \lambda g$. Then the associated Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole is linearly unstable if the Lichnerowicz Laplacian has a transverse, trace-free eigentensor with eigenvalue $-k$ satisfying

$$k < \frac{\lambda}{n-1}\left(4 - \frac{(5-n)^2}{4}\right) = \frac{(9-n)\lambda}{4}.$$

Note that if an Einstein metric is unstable in the Black hole sense, then it is unstable as a fixed point of the Ricci flow. We also note that we require genuinely trace-free perturbations in this definition rather than perturbations which are L^2-orthogonal to the metric (i.e. the integral of the trace is zero).

In [13], Gibbons, Hartnoll and Pope investigated the linear stability of the Böhm warped product metrics. They proved that the Böhm metrics (or rather the associated black holes) on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^m$ for $m = 2, 3$ are unstable. Their proof used the cohomogeneity one symmetry that the Böhm metrics exhibit. Our Theorem 1.1 is a generalisation of this result to an arbitrary warped product metric on these spaces. Unfortunately Theorem

1.4 is an asymptotic result and so one cannot conclude that the higher dimensional examples of Böhm metrics are unstable. In Section 6 we explain a possible approach for proving the Böhm metrics are all unstable in the black hole sense.

2.4. **A heuristic for destabilising one parameter families of warped products.** The methods for proving the Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4 are inspired by considering how to destabilise a product gradient Ricci soliton. Given a gradient Ricci soliton $(B, \bar{g}_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ satisfying

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}_\infty) + \overline{\nabla}^2 \phi_\infty = \lambda \bar{g}_\infty,$$

and an Einstein manifold $(F, \tilde{g})$ satisfying

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{g}) = \mu \tilde{g},$$

where $\mu = f_\infty \lambda$ for some constant f_∞. Thus the metric $g = \bar{g}_\infty \oplus f_\infty^2 \tilde{g}$ is a gradient Ricci soliton on $B \times F$ with potential function $\phi_\infty \circ \pi_B$ (as usual, we will drop the reference to the projection from now on and also denote this function ϕ_∞).

As mentioned previously, it is natural to use gauge-fixed tensors to destabilise. For a Ricci soliton, this means choosing tensors which are div_ϕ-free and L^2-orthogonal with the weighted volume form $e^{-\phi} dV_g$ to the Ricci tensor. On a product soliton there are two natural tensors satisfying the gauge-fixing conditions. The first is the tensor

$$h_1 = e^\phi \left(\frac{\bar{g}_\infty}{n} \oplus -\frac{f_\infty^2}{m} \tilde{g} \right).$$

The second is the tensor

$$h_2 = \mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}_\infty) \oplus c f_\infty^2 \tilde{g},$$

with the constant c chosen so that

$$\int_M \langle \mathrm{Ric}(g), h_2 \rangle e^{-\phi_\infty} dV_g = 0.$$

One can compute the stability integral in Theorem 2.1 for each of the perturbations (the h_2 calculation uses the work of Cao and Zhu in [8]).

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(B \times F, g = \bar{g}_\infty \oplus f_\infty^2 \tilde{g}, \phi)$ be a gradient product Ricci soliton and let h_1 and h_2 be as above. Then*

$$\langle N(h_1), h_1 \rangle = \mathrm{Vol}(F) \int_B \left(-\left(\frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) |\overline{\nabla} e^{\phi_\infty}|^2 + (\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}) \lambda e^{2\phi_\infty} \right) e^{-\phi_\infty} dV_{\bar{g}_\infty},$$

and

$$\langle N(h_2), h_2 \rangle = \lambda \|h_2\|^2.$$

While it is clear from the Proposition that the perturbation h_2 always destabilises, it is not clear that this is true for h_1. Noting that

$$\int_B |\overline{\nabla} e^{\phi_\infty}|^2 e^{-\phi_\infty} dV_{\bar{g}_\infty} = \frac{1}{2} \int_B \langle \nabla \phi_\infty, \nabla e^{2\phi_\infty} \rangle e^{-\phi_\infty} dV_{\bar{g}_\infty}.$$

It is well-known [5] that it is also possible to normalise ϕ_∞ so that

$$\overline{\Delta}_{\phi_\infty} \phi_\infty = -2\lambda \phi_\infty.$$

In this case

$$\int_B |\overline{\nabla} e^{\phi_\infty}|^2 e^{-\phi_\infty} dV_{\bar{g}_\infty} = \lambda \int_B \phi_\infty e^{\phi_\infty} dV_{\bar{g}_\infty},$$

and the perturbation h_1 is destabilising if

$$\int_B \phi_\infty e^{\phi_\infty} dV_{\bar{g}_\infty} < \frac{2n(m+n)}{n^2+mn+2m} \int_B e^{\phi_\infty} dV_{\bar{g}_\infty}.$$

Such an inequality does not in general hold for functions satisfying

$$\int_B \phi_\infty e^{-\phi_\infty} dV_{\bar{g}_\infty} = 0,$$

and so it is not necessarily true that h_1 is a destabilising perturbation of a product Ricci soliton.

Suppose that there is a one parameter family of quasi-Einstein metrics $(M, \bar{g}_m, f_m, m)$ solving Equations (1.2) and (1.1) for fixed λ and μ. Setting $\phi_m = -m \log f_m$, equation (1.2) becomes

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}_m) + \overline{\nabla}^2 \phi_m - \frac{d\phi_m \otimes d\phi_m}{m} = \lambda \bar{g}_m.$$

If as $m \to \infty$ the metrics $\bar{g}_m$ and functions ϕ_m converge (we do not make the sense of this convergence precise at this stage), then the limiting metric $\bar{g}_\infty$ and function ϕ_∞ solve the gradient Ricci soliton equation

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}_\infty) + \overline{\nabla}^2 \phi_\infty = \lambda \bar{g}_\infty.$$

For large values of m, we can make the following approximations

$$\bar{g}_m \approx \bar{g}_\infty, \quad f_m \approx f_\infty, \text{ and } f_m^m \approx e^{-\phi_\infty},$$

where f_∞ is a non-zero constant that is the limit of the f_m. Hence the warped product Einstein metric $\bar{g}_m \oplus f_m^2 \tilde{g}$ can be approximated by the metric $\bar{g}_\infty \oplus f_\infty^2 \tilde{g}$. As Equation 1.1 ensures $\mu = f_\infty^2 \lambda$, the metric $\bar{g}_\infty \oplus f_\infty^2 \tilde{g}$ is a product Ricci soliton.

In Sections 4 and 5 we define two different tensors. The first is the GHP variation (Definition 4.1) which is the analogue of the tensor h_1. The fact that h_1 is not obviously universally destabilising goes some way to explain why the GHP variation fails to destabilise warped products in all but the lowest dimensions. The Ricci variation (Definition 5.1) is the analogue of h_2 and Theorem 1.4 could be paraphrased as saying that, providing the metrics $\bar{g}_m$ and $\bar{g}_\infty$ are close to each other, the fact that h_2 is universally destabilising means the Ricci variation also destabilises the warped product for large values of m.

We remark that in the case the soliton is trivial we obtain a product Einstein manifold. It is classically known that ordinary Riemannian product Einstein metrics are unstable as fixed points of the Ricci flow (and as black holes if $n \leq 9$). In this case then

$$h_1 = h_2 = \frac{g_\infty}{n} - \frac{f_\infty^2 \tilde{g}}{m}.$$

This perturbation can be thought of as 'inflating' one factor in the product. Both the GHP and the Ricci perturbation also follow this idea but are complicated by the fact that the warping function is not constant.

3. Geometric operators for warped products

In this section we collect some useful identities that are used in the proof of the main theorems. The calculations are entirely straightforward so we omit the proofs. All of the theorems involve choosing a potentially destabilising tensor $h \in s^2(TM)$ and then computing the Rayleigh quotient

$$\frac{\int_M \langle \Delta_L h, h\rangle dV_g}{\int_M |h|^2 dV_g},$$

which provides a lower bound for the least negative eigentensor of Δ_L. The class of destabilising tensors that we consider can be written in the form

$$h = \overline{h} \oplus \psi\tilde{h}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\overline{h} \in s^2(TB)$, $\tilde{h} \in s^2(TF)$ and $\psi \in C^\infty(B)$.

One fundamental calculation is of the Christoffel symbols for the Levi-Civita connection of a warped product metric.

Lemma 3.1 (Christoffel symbols of g)**.** *Let $M = B \times F$ be a product manifold and let $g = \overline{g} \oplus f^2\tilde{g}$ be a warped product metric on M. Then the Christoffel symbols for the Levi-Civita connection of g are given by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^c_{ab} &= \overline{\Gamma}^c_{ab},\\
\Gamma^c_{\alpha\beta} &= -\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} f \overline{g}^{cd}(\overline{\nabla}_d f),\\
\Gamma^\gamma_{a\beta} &= (\overline{\nabla}_a \log f)\delta^\gamma_\beta,\\
\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= \tilde{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

All other symbols are zero.

As mentioned in Section 2, one need only check stability on divergence free tensors. The next lemma computes the divergence of tensors of the form (3.1).

Lemma 3.2 (Divergence of h)**.** *Let $(B \times F^m, \overline{g} \oplus f^2\tilde{g})$ be a warped product manifold and let h be of the form (3.1). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(h)(\cdot) =& \overline{\operatorname{div}}(\overline{h})(\cdot) + m\overline{h}(\overline{\nabla}\log f, \cdot)\\
&- f^{-2}\psi(\tilde{\operatorname{tr}}(\tilde{h}))d\log f(\cdot) + f^{-2}\psi\widetilde{\operatorname{div}}(\tilde{h})(\cdot).
\end{aligned}$$

To break down the calculation of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian, we first compute the connection Laplacian of the tensors h.

Lemma 3.3 (Connection Laplacian)**.** *Let $(B \times F^m, \overline{g} \oplus f^2\tilde{g})$ be a warped product manifold. and let h be of the form (3.1). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta h =& \overline{\Delta}\overline{h} + 2f^{-2}\psi\tilde{tr}(\tilde{h})(d\log f \otimes d\log f)\\
&- m(d\log f \otimes \iota_{\overline{\nabla}\log f}\overline{h} + \iota_{\overline{\nabla}\log f}\overline{h} \otimes d\log f - (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\nabla}\log f}\overline{h}))\\
&+ (\overline{\Delta}\psi - 2\psi\overline{\Delta}\log f + (m-4)\overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}\psi, \overline{\nabla}\log f) + 2(1-m)\psi|\overline{\nabla}\log f|^2)\tilde{h}\\
&+ f^{-2}\psi\widetilde{\Delta}\tilde{h} + 2\overline{h}(\overline{\nabla}f, \overline{\nabla}f)\tilde{g}\\
&- 2\psi f^{-2}(d\log f \otimes \widetilde{\operatorname{div}}(\tilde{h}) + \widetilde{\operatorname{div}}(\tilde{h}) \otimes d\log f).
\end{aligned}$$

The other component of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian is the curvature operator.

Lemma 3.4 (Curvature operator)**.** *Let $(B\times F^m, \overline{g}\oplus f^2\tilde{g})$ be a warped product manifold. and let h be of the form (3.1). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Rm}(h,\cdot) = \overline{\mathrm{Rm}}(\overline{h},\cdot) - f^{-3}\psi\tilde{tr}(\tilde{h})(\overline{\nabla}^2 f) + f^{-2}\psi\widetilde{\mathrm{Rm}}(\tilde{h},\cdot)\\ - \psi|\overline{\nabla}\log f|^2(\tilde{tr}(\tilde{h})\tilde{g} - \tilde{h}) - f\langle\overline{\nabla}^2 f, \bar{h}\rangle_{\bar{g}}\tilde{g}.\end{aligned}$$

4. The proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

We begin by defining the perturbation considered in [13].

Definition 4.1 (GHP variation)**.** Let $(B\times F^m, \bar{g}\oplus f^2\tilde{g})$ be a warped product manifold. The GHP variation is the tensor

$$h = \left(\frac{f^{-(n+m)}}{n}\bar{g}\right)\oplus\left(-\frac{f^{2-(n+m)}}{m}\tilde{g}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

When making the calculations, we will need the following fact about the pointwise inner product on 2-tensors for warped products:

$$\langle\eta,\xi\rangle_g = \langle\bar{\eta},\bar{\xi}\rangle_{\bar{g}} + f^{-4}\langle\tilde{\eta},\tilde{\xi}\rangle_{\tilde{g}},$$

for $\eta = \bar{\eta}\oplus\tilde{\eta}, \xi = \bar{\xi}\oplus\tilde{\xi}\in s^2(TM)$. It is also important to note that the volume form for a warped product metric is

$$dV_g = f^m dV_{\tilde{g}}\wedge dV_{\bar{g}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Decomposing the GHP variation (4.1) in the manner of (3.1) we see that

$$\bar{h} = \frac{f^{-(n+m)}}{n}\bar{g},\quad \tilde{h} = \tilde{g} \text{ and } \psi = -\frac{f^{2-(n+m)}}{m}.$$

To see that it is divergence free we use Lemma 3.2 to obtain

$$\mathrm{div}(h) = -\frac{m+n}{n}f^{-(m+n+1)}df + \frac{m}{n}f^{-(m+n+1)}df + f^{-(m+n+1)}df = 0.$$

It is easy to see that the GHP variation is trace-free. Using Lemma 3.3 we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Delta h, h\rangle =& \frac{f^{-(m+n)}\overline{\Delta}f^{-(m+n)}}{n} + \frac{f^{-(m+n+2)}\overline{\Delta}f^{2-(m+n)}}{m} - \frac{2f^{-2(m+n)}\overline{\Delta}\log f}{m}\\ &- C_{m,n}f^{-2(m+n+1)}|\overline{\nabla}f|^2\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{m,n} = \frac{m^3n + 2m^2n^2 + 2m^2 + mn^3 - 4mn^2 + 4mn - 4n^3 + 6n^2}{mn^2}.$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$\int_M\langle\Delta h, h\rangle = -\mathrm{Vol}(F)\frac{(n^2+mn+2)(m+n)^2}{mn^2}\int_B f^{-(m+2n+2)}|\overline{\nabla}f|^2 dV_{\bar{g}}.$$

Using Lemma (3.4) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\mathrm{Rm}(h,\cdot),h\rangle =& \frac{f^{-2(m+n)}\bar{S}}{m} + \frac{f^{-(2m+2n+1)}\overline{\Delta}f}{n} + \frac{\mu f^{-(2m+2n+1)}}{m}\\ &- \frac{(m-1)f^{-2(m+n+1)}}{m}|\overline{\nabla}f|^2 + \frac{f^{-(2m+2n+1)}\overline{\Delta}f}{n},\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{S}$ is the scalar curvature of $\bar{g}$. Taking the trace of Equation (1.2) we obtain

$$\bar{S} = mf^{-1}\overline{\Delta}f + n\lambda.$$

Substituting and using Equation (1.1) we obtain

$$\langle \mathrm{Rm}(h,\cdot),h\rangle = \left(\frac{m}{n^2}+\frac{2}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right) f^{-(2m+2n+1)}\overline{\Delta} f + \lambda f^{-2(m+n)}\left(\frac{1}{m}+\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\int_M \langle \mathrm{Rm}(h,\cdot),h\rangle dV_g = \mathrm{Vol}(F)\frac{(m+2n+1)(m+n)^2}{mn^2}\int_B f^{-(m+2n+2)}|\overline{\nabla} f|^2 dV_{\bar{g}} + \lambda\|h\|^2.$$

Combining these identities yields the following

$$\int_M \langle \Delta_L h,h\rangle dV_g = \mathrm{Vol}(F)\frac{(m+n)^2(2m+4n-mn-n^2)}{mn^2}\int_B f^{-(m+2n+2)}|\overline{\nabla} f|^2 dV_{\bar{g}}.$$

The result follows by noting that the above integral is manifestly non-negative if $n=3$ and $m=2$ or $m=3$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove this theorem we use in the Rayleigh quotient the perturbation

$$h = 0 \oplus \sigma.$$

Decomposing the variation h in the manner of (3.1) we see that

$$\bar{h}=0, \quad \tilde{h}=\sigma \text{ and } \psi = 1.$$

This immediately yields $\mathrm{div}(h)=0$ by Lemma (3.2) and it is clear h is trace-free as σ is trace-free. Using Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$\Delta h = f^{-2}\widetilde{\Delta}\sigma + (2(1-m)|\overline{\nabla}\log f|^2 - 2\overline{\Delta}\log f)\sigma,$$

and

$$\mathrm{Rm}(h,\cdot) = f^{-2}\widetilde{\mathrm{Rm}}(\sigma,\cdot) + |\overline{\nabla}\log f|^2\sigma.$$

Hence

$$\langle \Delta_L h,h\rangle_g = f^{-6}\langle \widetilde{\Delta}_L\sigma,\sigma\rangle_{\bar{g}} + 2(\mu f^{-6} - \lambda f^{-4} + (2-m)f^{-6}|\overline{\nabla} f|^2 - f^{-4}\overline{\Delta}\log f)|\sigma|^2_{\bar{g}}.$$

Integrating by parts and using the fact $\widetilde{\Delta}_L\sigma = -k\sigma$ we obtain

$$\int_M \langle \Delta_L h,h\rangle_g dV_g = 2\|\sigma\|^2_{\bar{g}}\int_B \left(\mu - \frac{k}{2}\right) f^{m-6} - \lambda f^{m-4} - 2f^{m-6}|\overline{\nabla} f|^2 dV_{\bar{g}},$$

and the result follows. □

We can now prove Corollary 1.3

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Integrating Equation (1.1) we see that

$$\int_B \frac{\mu}{2} f^{m-6} - 2f^{m-6}|\overline{\nabla} f| dV_{\bar{g}} = \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_B f^{m-4} dV_{\bar{g}}.$$

Hence if $k \leq \mu$, Theorem 1.2 yields

$$\int_M \langle \Delta_L h,h\rangle dV_g \geq -\lambda\|\sigma\|^2_{\bar{g}}\int_B f^{m-4} dV_{\bar{g}} = -\lambda\|h\|^2_g. \tag{4.2}$$

It is well known that Einstein products and Kähler-Einstein metrics with $h^{1,1}>1$ (all with Einstein constant μ) admit transverse, trace-free eigentensors of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian with eigenvalue 0 [6], [8], and [16]. Equation (4.2) shows that a fibre-unstable warped product must also have a transverse, trace-free eigentensor satisfying the destabilising conditions and so must be unstable as a fibre. □

5. The proof of Theorem 1.4

We begin with the definition of the Ricci-variation.

Definition 5.1 (Ricci variation)**.** Let $(B^n \times F^m, \bar{g} \oplus f^2\tilde{g})$ be a warped product Einstein manifold with Einstein constant λ. Let ρ be the function

$$\rho = ((n-1)\lambda - \bar{S}),$$

where $\bar{S}$ is the scalar curvature of the metric $\bar{g}$. Let $P \in s^2(TB)$ be given by

$$P = \mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) - \frac{\rho}{m-1}\bar{g}.$$

Then the Ricci variation is given by

$$h = fP \oplus 0 + cg, \tag{5.1}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen so that

$$\int_M \mathrm{tr}(h) dV_g = 0.$$

The tensor P was first defined by He, Petersen and Wylie [19] and in order to show that the Ricci perturbation is divergence free, we need the following result.

Lemma 5.2 (He–Petersen–Wylie [19], Proposition 5.6)**.** *Let $(B, \bar{g}, f, m)$ be a quasi-Einstein metric satisfying Equation (1.2) and let P be the tensor given in the definition of the Ricci variation (5.1). Then*

$$\overline{\mathrm{div}}(f^{m+1}P) = 0.$$

From this lemma we immediately obtain the following:

Lemma 5.3. *The Ricci variation (5.1) is divergence free*

Proof. If $\bar{h} \in s^2(TB)$ satisfying $\overline{\mathrm{div}}(\bar{h}) = 0$, then using Lemma 3.2,

$$h = f^{-m}\bar{h} \oplus 0,$$

satisfies $\mathrm{div}(h) = 0$. The result follows as the metric g is obviously divergence free. □

The hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 are that, for fixed values of λ and μ, there is a one parameter family (g_m, f_m) solving equations (1.1) and (1.2) where $m \in (1, \infty)$. If the metrics g_m and the functions f_m^m remain bounded (say in C^k-topology for $k > 2$), then one consequence of Equation (1.2) is that the f_m must converge to a constant f_∞ and quantities such as

$$\overline{\nabla} f_m \text{ and } \overline{\nabla}^2 f_m$$

must converge to 0 as $m \to \infty$.

To calculate the Rayleigh quotient for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian, the key quantity to compute is $\overline{\Delta}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}))$. This is achieved in the following Lemma which follows closely the calculations contained in Lemma 3.2 of [8].

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(B, \bar{g}, f, m)$ satisfy Equation (1.2). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Delta}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})) = &-m\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\nabla} f}\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) - 2\overline{\mathrm{Rm}}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}), \cdot) + 2\lambda f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) \\ &+ s^2(\overline{\nabla}\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}))(\cdot, \overline{\nabla} f, \cdot) + \mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) \cdot \overline{\nabla}^2 f + \overline{\nabla}^2 f \cdot \mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(df \otimes d\bar{S} + d\bar{S} \otimes df) + ((n-2)\lambda - \bar{S})\overline{\nabla}^2 f + \lambda\overline{\Delta} f\bar{g}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In local normal coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Delta}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}))_{ij} &= m\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_j f + \lambda\overline{\Delta}f\bar{g}_{ij}\\ &= m\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_j f + m\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\mathrm{Rm}}_{kijl}\overline{\nabla}_l f + m\overline{\mathrm{Rm}}_{kijl}\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_l f + \lambda\overline{\Delta}f\bar{g}_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the formula for commuting covariant derivatives and Equation (1.2). The second Bianchi identity yields

$$\nabla_k\mathrm{Rm}_{kijl}\nabla_l f = \nabla_j\mathrm{Ric}_{il}\nabla_l f - \nabla_l\mathrm{Ric}_{ij}\nabla_l f.$$

Using Equation (1.2)

$$m\overline{\mathrm{Rm}}_{kijl}\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_l f = -\overline{\mathrm{Rm}}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}),\cdot)_{ij} + \lambda f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{ij},$$

and we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Delta}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}))_{ij} =& m\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_j f + m\nabla_j\mathrm{Ric}_{il}\nabla_l f - m\nabla_l\mathrm{Ric}_{ij}\nabla_l f.\\ &- \overline{\mathrm{Rm}}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}),\cdot)_{ij} + \lambda f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{ij} + \lambda\overline{\Delta}f\bar{g}_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

To simplify the term $m\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_j f$ we commute derivatives again,

$$\begin{aligned}m\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_j f =& m\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_j f + m\overline{\mathrm{Rm}}_{kijl}\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{\nabla}_l f + m\overline{\mathrm{Rm}}_{kikl}\overline{\nabla}_j\overline{\nabla}_l f\\ =&\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_k(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) - f\lambda g)_{kj} - \overline{\mathrm{Rm}}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}),\cdot)_{ij} + f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{il}\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{lj}.\end{aligned}$$

To compute the term $\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_k(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) - f\lambda g)_{kj}$ we need the standard identity

$$\overline{\nabla}_i\bar{S} = 2\overline{\nabla}_j\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{ij}, \tag{5.2}$$

and the following formula for commuting derivatives

$$\overline{\nabla}_i(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}))_{jk} - \overline{\nabla}_j(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}))_{ik} = m\overline{\mathrm{Rm}}_{ijkl}\overline{\nabla}_l f + \lambda(\overline{\nabla}_i f g_{jk} - \overline{\nabla}_j f g_{ik}). \tag{5.3}$$

Contracting over the j and k indices in (5.3) and using (5.2) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\overline{\nabla}_i(f\bar{S}) = -(m-1)\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{il}\overline{\nabla}_l f + \left((n-1)\lambda - \frac{\bar{S}}{2}\right)\overline{\nabla}_i f.$$

Putting all this together we obtain that $\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_k(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) - f\lambda g)_{kj}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{\nabla}_i\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{jl}\overline{\nabla}_l f + \overline{\nabla}_j\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{il}\overline{\nabla}_l f + \mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{jl}\overline{\nabla}_l\overline{\nabla}_i f + \mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{il}\overline{\nabla}_l\overline{\nabla}_j f\\ &-m\overline{\nabla}_j\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{il}\overline{\nabla}_l f - f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{il}\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{lj} + \lambda f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})_{ij}\\ &- \left(\frac{\overline{\nabla}_i f\overline{\nabla}_j\bar{S} + \overline{\nabla}_j f\overline{\nabla}_i\bar{S}}{2}\right) + ((n-2)\lambda - \bar{S})\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{\nabla}_j f\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Using Lemma 3.3 we see

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(fP\oplus 0) =&\overline{\Delta}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})) - m(d\log f\otimes\iota_{\overline{\nabla}\log f}f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})\\ &+ \iota_{\overline{\nabla}\log f}f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})\otimes d\log f - \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\nabla}\log f}f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}))\\ &+ f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})(\overline{\nabla}f,\overline{\nabla}f)\tilde{g} - \overline{\Delta}(f\rho)\bar{g}\\ &+ m(2\rho f d\log f\otimes d\log f - f d\rho(\overline{\nabla}f) - \rho df(\overline{\nabla}f))\\ &- f\rho|\overline{\nabla}f|^2\tilde{g}.\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\nabla}\log f}(f\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})) = f^{-1}|\overline{\nabla}f|^2\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g}) + \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\nabla}f}(\mathrm{Ric}(\bar{g})).$$

The hypotheses on g_m and f_m mean that we can write (using Lemma 5.4)

$$\Delta(fP \oplus 0) = -2\mathrm{Rm}(fP \oplus 0) + 2\lambda(fP \oplus 0) + T(m),$$

where $T(m)$ denotes a tensor quantity such that $T(m) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. To compute with the cg term in the Ricci variation, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta g + \mathrm{Rm}(g, \cdot) = \lambda g,$$

and so

$$\langle N(h), h\rangle = \lambda\|h\|^2 + T(m).$$

The result now follows. □

6. Application: Böhm's Einstein metrics

In this section we describe a possible approach using the Ricci variation for proving the instability of the generalised black holes associated to the Böhm metrics. This was the original problem considered in [13]. We again remind the reader that we use the opposite sign convention for the Laplacian to that in [13].

6.1. **Description of the metrics.** The Bohm metrics on both $\mathbb{S}^{p+q+1}$ and $\mathbb{S}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{S}^q$ can be described by the ansatz

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + a^2(t)d\Omega_p^2 + b^2(t)d\Omega_q^2, \tag{6.1}$$

where a and b are smooth functions of the coordinate t and $d\Omega_p^2$, $d\Omega_p^2$ are the standard round metrics on the spheres $\mathbb{S}^p$ and $\mathbb{S}^q$ respectively. In this setting the Einstein equations can be formulated as a coupled nonlinear system of ODEs:

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + q\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} + (p-1)\frac{\dot{a}^2 - 1}{a^2} = -\Lambda. \tag{6.2}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{b}}{b} + p\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} + (q-1)\frac{\dot{b}^2 - 1}{b^2} = -\Lambda. \tag{6.3}$$

$$p(p-1)\frac{\dot{a}^2 - 1}{a^2} + q(q-1)\frac{\dot{b}^2 - 1}{b^2} + 2pq\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} + (p+q-1)\Lambda = 0. \tag{6.4}$$

The topology of the solution is determined by the boundary conditions of the functions a and b. If we consider them as defined on a bounded interval $(0, T)$ then (6.1) defines a smooth metric on $\mathbb{S}^{p+q+1}$ if

$$a(0) = 0, \quad \dot{a}(0) = 1, \quad b(0) = b_0, \quad \dot{b}(0) = 0,$$
$$a(T) = a_T, \quad \dot{a}(T) = 0, \quad b(T) = 0, \quad \dot{b}(T) = -1,$$

for some $b_0 > 0$ and $a_T > 0$. This solution corresponds to a different one of the factors in the $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$ hypersurfaces collapsing at each end of the interval $(0, T)$. Similarly, (6.1) defines a smooth metric on $\mathbb{S}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{S}^q$ if the $\mathbb{S}^p$ factor collapses at both ends of the interval and

$$a(0) = 0, \quad \dot{a}(0) = 1, \quad b(0) = b_0, \quad \dot{b}(0) = 0,$$
$$a(T) = 0, \quad \dot{a}(T) = -1, \quad b(T) = b_T, \quad \dot{b}(T) = 0,$$

for some $b_0 > 0$ and $b_T > 0$.

If we use the convention that $\Lambda = p + q$ then we recover the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^{p+q+1}$ by taking

$$a(t) = \sin(t) \quad \text{and} \quad b(t) = \cos(t).$$

There is also the homogeneous product metric on $\mathbb{S}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{S}^q$ given by

$$a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{p}{p+q}} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{p}{p+q}} t) \quad \text{and} \quad b(t) = \sqrt{\frac{q-1}{p+q}}.$$

In [3] Böhm showed that, for $2 \leq p, q$ and $p + q + 1 \leq 9$, there are a countable infinity of solutions to Equations (6.2), (6.3), and (6.4) satisfying each set of the boundary conditions. We will adopt the convention of [13] and label these metrics by an integer $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, ...$ The metrics on $\mathbb{S}^{p+q+1}$ will be the Böhm$(p, q)_\alpha$ metrics where the parameter α is an even number and $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to the round metric. The metrics on $\mathbb{S}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{S}^q$ will be metrics where α is odd and $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to the product metric. For $\alpha \geq 2$, all the Böhm$(p, q)_\alpha$ metrics are inhomogeneous.

The metrics on $\mathbb{S}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{S}^q$ are clearly warped products. On the spheres it is useful to also regard the metrics as warped products with base $(0, T) \times \mathbb{S}^p$ and fibre $\mathbb{S}^q$.

Crucial to our approach is the fact that the metrics Böhm$(p, q)_\alpha$ converge as $\alpha \to \infty$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff sense to the singular double cone with $T \to \pi$ and

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + \frac{\sin^2(t)}{p+q+1}[(p-1)d\Omega_p^2 + (q-1)d\Omega_q^2].$$

The convergence of the functions a and b could probably be taken to be in the C^∞_{loc} sense. In order to make what follows rigorous, one would need to determine exactly how certain stability integrals behave as $\alpha \to \infty$.

6.2. **Perturbations.** In [13], the authors consider perturbations of the metrics (6.1) given by

$$a \to a\sqrt{1+u}, \quad b \to b\sqrt{1+v}, \quad c = 1 \to \sqrt{1+\gamma},$$

for smooth functions $u, v, \gamma \in C^\infty(0, T)$.

Taking $\bar{g} = dt^2 + a^2 d\Omega_p^2$ to be a metric on $\mathbb{S}^{p+1}$ (or $(0, T) \times \mathbb{S}^p$), we regard b as the warping function in the warped product framework and compute the Ricci variation given in Definition 5.1 (though here we omit the cg term). In the u, v, γ system, this corresponds, after using Equations (6.2) – (6.4) to simplify, to taking

$$u = \left(\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{a} - \frac{\dot{b}^2 - 1}{b}\right), \quad v = 0, \quad \gamma = \left(-(p+q)b - p\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{a} - q\frac{\dot{b}^2 - 1}{b}\right). \tag{6.5}$$

The tensor generated by this perturbation will be referred to as Φ_1^α. It is apparent from the boundary conditions that the Ricci variation 6.5 does not have singularities for either the sphere or the product of spheres. Comparing this to the GHP variation in Definition 4.1, which is given by

$$u = \frac{1}{b^{p+q+1}}, \quad v = -\frac{(p+1)}{qb^{p+q+1}}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{b^{p+q+1}},$$

we see that this perturbation is singular at T in the case of spheres. It is possible to view the metrics (6.1) as warped products with base $\mathbb{S}^{q+1}$ and fibre $\mathbb{S}^p$ and hence get a similar perturbation, with corresponding tensor denoted by Φ_2^α, with the roles of a and b, p and q, and u and v switched,

$$u = 0, \quad v = \left(\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{b} - \frac{\dot{a}^2 - 1}{a} \right), \quad \gamma = \left(-(p+q)a - q\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{b} - p\frac{\dot{a}^2 - 1}{a} \right). \tag{6.6}$$

The perturbations given by (6.5) and (6.6) are not trace-free. Hence they cannot be used directly to investigate black hole instability. We now explain how to build a trace-free perturbation given a transverse perturbation which is L^2-orthogonal to the metric. Let

$$\mathcal{C}(M) = \{\varphi \in C^\infty(M) \mid \int_M \varphi \, dV_g = 0\}.$$

Consider the operator

$$\mathcal{T}(\varphi) = \Delta\varphi + \frac{n\lambda}{n-1}\varphi.$$

When the metric is not the round sphere, this is an invertible operator by the Lichnerowicz eigenvalue bound. From [7], the tensor

$$S(\varphi) = (\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi)g - \nabla^2\varphi$$

is divergence free and has trace

$$\mathrm{tr}(S(\varphi)) = (n-1)\Delta\varphi + n\lambda\varphi = (n-1)\mathcal{T}(\varphi).$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ solve $\mathcal{T}(\varphi) = \frac{\mathrm{tr}(h)}{n-1}$. Then $h - S(\varphi)$ is transverse and trace-free.

6.3. **Calculations as $\alpha \to \infty$.** In this subsection we suggest an argument for how to prove that for large enough values of the parameter α, the Böhm$(p,q)_\alpha$ metrics are unstable in the black hole sense. Nothing here is rigorously justified and so we do not make precise any of the notions of convergence.

In the limit as $\alpha \to \infty$, the functions defining the perturbation Φ_1^α approach

$$u_\infty(t) = \sqrt{\frac{p+q-1}{q-1}}\frac{1}{\sin(t)}, \quad v_\infty(t) = 0, \quad \gamma_\infty(t) = \frac{p\sqrt{\frac{p+q-1}{q-1}}}{(p+q-1)\sin(t)}.$$

Similarly, the functions defining the perturbation Φ_2^α approach

$$u_\infty(t) = 0, \quad v_\infty(t) = \sqrt{\frac{p+q-1}{p-1}}\frac{1}{\sin(t)}, \quad \gamma_\infty(t) = \frac{q\sqrt{\frac{p+q-1}{p-1}}}{(p+q-1)\sin(t)}.$$

Hence in the limit

$$\Phi_3^\alpha = q\sqrt{\frac{q-1}{p+q-1}}\Phi_1^\alpha - p\sqrt{\frac{p-1}{p+q-1}}\Phi_2^\alpha$$

is a genuine transverse trace-free perturbation defined by the functions

$$u(t) = \frac{q}{\sin(t)}, \quad v = \frac{-p}{\sin(t)}, \quad \text{and } \gamma = 0.$$

Using the method of [13] where the quadratic terms of the Einstein–Hilbert action are computed directly, the integral of the limiting objects

$$I := \int_M \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \langle \Phi_3^\alpha, \Delta_L \Phi_3^\alpha \rangle dV_{g_\alpha}$$

is given by

$$C_{p,q}\int_0^\pi (2(p+q)^2 - 10(p+q) + 9)\sin^{p+q-4}(t) - (2(p+q)^2 - 8(p+q) + 5)\sin^{p+q-2}(t)dt,$$

where

$$C_{p,q} = -pq(p+q)\sqrt{\left(\frac{p-1}{p+q-1}\right)^p}\sqrt{\left(\frac{q-1}{p+q-1}\right)^q}.$$

Using the standard identity

$$\int_0^\pi \sin^n(t)dt = \frac{(n-1)}{n}\int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2}(t)dt,$$

we see that

$$I > 0,$$

for all values of p and q.

As $\mathrm{tr}(\Phi_3^\alpha) \to 0$ as $\alpha \to \infty$, the correction added to the tensors in order to make them trace-free also converges to 0. Hence, providing that one could conclude that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\int_M \langle \Phi_3^\alpha, \Delta_L \Phi_3^\alpha\rangle \, dV_{g_\alpha} = \int_M \lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\langle \Phi_3^\alpha, \Delta_L \Phi_3^\alpha\rangle \, dV_{g_\alpha} = I > 0,$$

one would be able to prove that the $\mathrm{Böhm}(p,q)_\alpha$ metrics are unstable for sufficiently large values of α. Numerical investigation of the Böhm metrics using the methods of [13] suggests that the integrals converge and so the trace-free corrections of the tensor Φ_3^α are good candidates for destabilising perturbations.

One might wonder whether simpler 'ballooning' variations might also work in this sort of argument. For example taking

$$u = q\frac{\dot{b}}{b}, \quad v = -p\frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad \text{and } \gamma = 0.$$

In this case the stability integral is 0 in the limit and so the above argument does not go through.

References

[1] Batat, W., Hall, S. J., Jizany, A., and Murphy, T. Conformally Kähler geometry and quasi-Einstein metrics. *Münster Journal of Mathematics* (to appear (2015)).

[2] Besse, A. L. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition.

[3] Böhm, C. Inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on low-dimensional spheres and other low-dimensional spaces. *Invent. Math. 134*, 1 (1998), 145–176.

[4] Cao, H.-D. Existence of gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons. In *Elliptic and parabolic methods in geometry (Minneapolis, MN, 1994)*. A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1996, pp. 1–16.

[5] Cao, H.-D. Recent progress on Ricci solitons. In *Recent advances in geometric analysis*, vol. 11 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010, pp. 1–38.

[6] Cao, H.-D., Hamilton, R., and Ilmanen, T. Gaussian densities and stability for some Ricci solitons. - (2004). preprint, arXiv:math/0404165 [math.DG].

[7] Cao, H.-D., and He, C. Linear stability of Perelman's ν-entropy on symmetric spaces of compact type. *J. Reine Angew. Math. 709* (2015), 229–246.

[8] Cao, H.-D., and Zhu, M. On second variation of Perelman's Ricci shrinker entropy. *Math. Ann. 353*, 3 (2012), 747–763.

[9] Case, J. S. The energy of a smooth metric measure space and applications. *J. Geom. Anal. 25*, 1 (2015), 616–667.

[10] Dai, X., Wang, X., and Wei, G. On the stability of Riemannian manifold with parallel spinors. *Invent. Math. 161*, 1 (2005), 151–176.

[11] Dancer, A., and Wang, M. On Ricci solitons of cohomgeneity one. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 39* (2011), 259–292.

[12] Gibbons, G. W., and Hartnoll, S. A. Gravitational instability in higher dimensions. *Phys. Rev. D (3) 66*, 6 (2002), 064024, 17.
[13] Gibbons, G. W., Hartnoll, S. A., and Pope, C. N. Bohm and Einstein-Sasaki metrics, black holes, and cosmological event horizons. *Phys. Rev. D (3) 67*, 8 (2003), 084024, 24.
[14] Hall, S., Haslhofer, R., and Siepmann, M. The stability inequality for Ricci-flat cones. *J. Geom. Anal. 24*, 1 (2014), 472–494.
[15] Hall, S. J. Quasi-Einstein metrics on hypersurface families. *J. Geom. Phys. 64* (2013), 83–90.
[16] Hall, S. J., and Murphy, T. On the linear stability of Kähler-Ricci solitons. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139*, 9 (2011), 3327–3337.
[17] Hall, S. J., and Murphy, T. On the spectrum of the Page and the Chen-LeBrun-Weber metrics. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 46*, 1 (2014), 87–101.
[18] Hall, S. J., and Murphy, T. Numerical approximations to extremal toric Kähler metrics with arbitrary Kähler class. *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.* (to appear (2016)).
[19] He, C., Petersen, P., and Wylie, W. On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics. *Comm. Anal. Geom. 20*, 2 (2012), 271–311.
[20] Kim, D.-S., and Kim, Y. H. Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131*, 8 (2003), 2573–2576.
[21] Koiso, N. On rotationally symmetric Hamilton's equation for Kähler-Einstein metrics. In *Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry*, vol. 18 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 327–337.
[22] Kröncke, K. Ricci flow, Einstein metrics and the Yamabe invariant. - (2013). preprint, arXiv:1312.2224 [math.DG].
[23] Kröncke, K. Stable and unstable Einstein warped products. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear (2016)).
[24] Lü, H., Page, D. N., and Pope, C. N. New inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on sphere bundles over Einstein-Kähler manifolds. *Phys. Lett. B 593*, 1-4 (2004), 218–226.
[25] Pali, N. The soliton-Ricci flow with variable volume forms. *Complex Manifolds 3* (2016), Art. 3.
[26] Pali, N. Variational stability of Kähler-Ricci solitons. *Adv. Math. 290* (2016), 15–35.
[27] Perelman, G. The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. - (2002). preprint, arXiv:math/0211159 [math.DG].
[28] Sesum, N. Linear and dynamical stability of ricci-flat metrics. *Duke Mathematical Journal 133*, 1 (2006), 1–26.

Ecole Nationale Polytechnique d'Oran, B.P 1523 El M'naouar, 31000 Oran, Algeria
E-mail address: batatwafa@yahoo.fr

School of Computing, Mathematics and Digital Technology, John Dalton Building, Manchester Metropolitan University, Chester Street, Manchester, M1 5GD
E-mail address: stuart.hall@mmu.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, California State University Fullerton, 800 N. State College Bld., Fullerton, CA 92831, USA.
E-mail address: tmurphy@fullerton.edu